

Thermochimica Acta 394 (2002) 211–217

thermochimica acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/tca

Metabolic response to temperature for six populations of winterfat (*Eurotia lanata*)

Tonya Thygerson^a, Jennifer M. Harris^a, Bruce N. Smith^{a,*}, Lee D. Hansen^b, Rosemary L. Pendleton^c, D. Terrance Booth^d

^a *Department of Botany and Range Science, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA*

^b *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA* ^c *Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Rocky Mountain Research Station, 333 Broadway SE, Suite 115, Albuquerque, NM 87102-3497, USA*

^d *USDA-ARS High Plains Grassland Research Station, Cheyenne, WY 82009, USA*

Received 10 September 2001; received in revised form 7 March 2002; accepted 9 March 2002

Abstract

Eurotia lanata (Pursh) Moq. [*Krascheninnikovia lanata* (Pursh) Guldenstaedt.] (winterfat) is a boreal cold-desert subshrub, seldom more than 2 ft tall, that thrives in dry climates at cool temperatures. Diaspore collections from Saskatchewan, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico were cleaned and placed on moistened filter paper in petri dishes. Seeds maintained at 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20° C for seven days germinated at all temperatures with no evidence of acclimation. At radicle emergence (ca. 3 mm), seeds were placed in calorimeter ampoules. Heat rate (R_a) was measured at a given temperature, then a vial containing NaOH solution was added to measure the rate of CO_2 evolution (R_{CO_2}) for the same tissue at the same temperature. This procedure was repeated for each of the populations at temperatures ranging from 0 to 25 ℃. Metabolic efficiency and predicted specific growth rates were calculated from these measurements. Optimum temperature for germination, metabolism, and early seedling growth ranged from 5 to 25 ◦C. Seedlings differed in response to temperature reflecting the climate at the site of origin. Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Germination; Metabolism; Respiration rate; Temperature; Winterfat

1. Introduction

Winterfat is a small cold-desert subshrub that thrives in dry climates at cool temperatures. Stems, leaves, and dispersal units (diaspores) are covered with a dense mix of short and long white hairs that aid in water [rete](#page-6-0)ntion [1]. Foliage is retained throughout the winter. Winterfat is excellent forage for both wildlife and domestic cattle and is a good source of protein and vitamin A. In North America, winterfat is found

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-801-378-4885;

fax: +1-801-378-5952.

from Canada to Mexico, and from Manitoba to British Columbia and the Dakotas and Nebraska west to the Great Basin. The genus consists of only two species, one from North America and the other from the cold deser[ts](#page-6-0) [of](#page-6-0) Asia [2].

Populations within a species (accessions) are adapted to the particular microclimate of their origin and may, or may not, grow as well when moved to a different location. The purpose of this work is to examine how plants adapt their respiratory metabolism to match the temperature of their native climate. In this study, calorimetry was used to determine the temperature response and high and low stress temperatures of respiratory metabolism in winterfat diaspores

E-mail address: bruce smith@byu.edu (B.N. Smith).

^{0040-6031/02/\$ –} see front matter. Published by Elsevier Science B.V. PII: S0040-6031(02)00253-8

collected from six locations. When metabolic heat loss exceeds energy made available through catabolism of carbohydrate, the plant is considered to [be](#page-6-0) [str](#page-6-0)essed [3].

Aerobic respiration has two aspects: catabolism and anabolism. In catabolism, organic substrates are oxidized to produce $CO₂$. Part of the energy produced by oxidation is used to convert ADP and inorganic phosphate (Pi) to ATP, the rest is lost as heat.

substrate + O2 + xADP + xPi → CO2 + H2O + xATP + heat (1)

ATP produced in catabolism is transient, but is used for cellular work, including anabolism as shown below:

$$
substrate + yATP \rightarrow growth + yADP + yPi + heat
$$
\n(2)

In anabolism, heat and new plant tissue are produced and ATP is hydrolyzed back to ADP and phosphate. A calorimeter measures the rate of heat loss (R_a) from both catabolism and anabolism. The rate of $CO₂$ production (R_{CO}) measures the rate of catabolism. With carbohydrate as the specific substrate, predicted growth rate of structural biomass or rate of anabolism (R_{SG}) is related to the two measured variables as in Eq. (3):

$$
R_{\text{SG}}\Delta H_{\text{B}} = 455R_{\text{CO}_2} - R_{\text{q}}\tag{3}
$$

where ΔH_B is the enthalpy change for the formation of biomass from photosynthate and Thornton's constant (-455 ± 15 kJ mol⁻¹ of O₂) is incorporated to calculate the rate of energy generated by catabolism.

Thus, growth rate in terms of energy is proportional to the difference between the measured values of R_{CO_2}

and R_{q} . The temperature dependencies of R_{CO_2} and R_q ar[e](#page-6-0) [diff](#page-6-0)erent [4]. Thus, the difference changes with te[mper](#page-6-0)ature [5].

Predicted specific growth rate may be expressed as a function of the substrate carbon conversion efficiency (ε) and respiration rate (R_{CO2}) as in Eq. (4):

$$
R_{\text{SG}} = R_{\text{CO}_2} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon)} \right] \tag{4}
$$

Combining Eqs. (3) and (4) to eliminate R_{SG} gives Eq. (5):

$$
\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{(1-\varepsilon)}\right] \Delta H_B = -\frac{R_\text{q}}{R_\text{CO}_2} + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_\text{P}}{4}\right) 455 \tag{5}
$$

which relates the ratio of R_q/R_{CO_2} to ε . Values of R_{q}/R_{CO_2} measured as a function of temperature can thus provide information on substrate carbon conversion efficiency (ε) and the oxidation state of the substrate carb[on,](#page-6-0) [i.](#page-6-0)e. γ_P [4].

2. Materials and methods

Diaspores from *Eurotia lanata* (Pursh) Moq. [*Krascheninnikovia lanata* (Pursh) Guldenstaedt.] (winterfat) were hand-collected from Pine Bluffs, WY; Sterling, CO; and Matador, Sask[.,](#page-6-0) [Ca](#page-6-0)nada [6]. The second site is located in Shortgrass prairie while the first and third are located in Mixed prairie (Table 1). Additional diaspores were collected from three locations in the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge about 100 km south of Albuquerque, NM, USA (Table 1). The Northside and Southside sites are separated about 25 km along a north–south gradient in Transition

Table 1

Sources and habitats for winterfat (*Eurotia lanata*) diaspores and a summary of metabolic data in response to temperature (low and high stress) of germinated seeds^a

Site	Location	Elevation (m)	Community	Temperature response $(^{\circ}C)$		
				Low	Optimal	High
Pine Bluffs	$41^{\circ}10^{\prime}$ N, $104^{\circ}09^{\prime}$ W	1554	Mixed prairie		$5 - 15$	18
Sterling	$40^{\circ}37'$ N, $103^{\circ}13'$ W	1181	Shortgrass		$5 - 15$	16
Matador	$50^{\circ}42'$ N, $107^{\circ}43'$ W	685	Mixed prairie	$0 - 5$	$10 - 15$	20
Northside	34°25'N, 106°39'W	1600	Chihuahuan prairie 2	$5 - 25$	$25+$	
Westside	$34^{\circ}20^{\prime}N$, $106^{\circ}55^{\prime}W$	1539	Great Basin prairie 7	$10 - 25$	$25+$	
Southside	$34^{\circ}12'$ N, $106^{\circ}48'$ W	1533	Chihuahuan prairie 8	$10 - 25$	$25+$	

^a Calorimetric measurements were made every 5 ◦C from 0 to 25 ◦C.

Chihuahuan and Plains Grassland dominated by both black grama [*Bouteloua eriopoda* (Torr.) Torr.] and blue grama [*B. gracilis* (H.B.K.) Lag. ex Steudel]. The Westside site is about 20 km west of the other sites in Great Basin Grasslands characterized by galleta [*Hilaria jamesii* (Torr.) Benth.] and Indian ricegrass [*Oryzopsis hymenoides* (R&[S\)](#page-6-0) [Ri](#page-6-0)cker] [7].

The seeds were first removed from the utricle and enclosing bracts to decrease fungal growth during ge[rmin](#page-6-0)ation [1]. The threshed seeds were soaked in Tween solution (10%) for 10 min, and then in dilute sodium hypochlorite (1%) for 45 min. Treated seeds from the northern populations were placed on moistened filter paper in petri dishes kept in beakers partially submerged in coolant baths for 7 days maintained at 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20° C to study the effects of temperature on germination and metabolism.

After 7 days, radicles emerged to about 3 mm. Seedlings (about 100 mg fresh weight) were placed in each of three ampoules of an isothermal [mi](#page-1-0)crocalorimeter (Hart Scientific Model 7707 or Calorimetry Sciences Corporation Model 4100). After thermal equilibration for 15–20 min at a given temperature, the metabolic heat rate (R_q) was measured for another 15–20 min. The ampoules were removed from the calorimeter and a small vial filled with $40 \mu l$ of 0.4 M NaOH was placed in the ampoule with the tissue. Again a 15–20 min thermal equilibration was necessary, followed by a measurement of the sum of the heat from metabolism and $CO₂$ reaction with the NaOH for 15–20 min (R_{CO2}) . The reaction of CO₂ with the NaOH solution to form carbonate produces [−]108.5 kJ mol−1. After the NaOH was removed the heat rate (R_q) w[as](#page-6-0) measured again as [bef](#page-6-0)ore [4,5]. The tissue was then run at another temperature. A total of seven calorimeters were used. There were six replicates for each measurement. The uncertainty for measuring R_q was $\pm 5\%$ and for $R_{CO_2} \pm 20\%$. M[ea](#page-1-0)surements were made on each sample at five or six temperatures: 25, 20, 15, 10, 5, and 0° C. It was not possible using our methods to measure $R_{\rm CO}$ at temperatures below freezing as the dilute NaOH froze.

3. Results

Germination at various temperatures had no effect on time of germination or metabolism. Seeds germinated as rapidly at 0° C as they did at 20° C with essentially 100% germination at all temperatures, in agreement with prev[ious](#page-6-0) work [8]. Seeds germinated at different temperatures did not differ in metabolic response to temperature. For example, seeds germinated at 5 ◦C had the same metabolic response as seeds germinate[d](#page-6-0) [at](#page-6-0) 20° 20° C [9].

Growth in terms of energy can occur only when the rate of energy generation from oxidation of carbohydrate (455 $R_{CO₂}$) exceeds the rate of heat loss (R_q) . Metabolic data for winterfat populations from Pinebl[uffs,](#page-3-0) [WY](#page-3-0) (Fig. 1A), Ste[rling,](#page-3-0) [CO](#page-3-0) (Fig. 1B) and Matador, Sask., [Canada](#page-3-0) (Fig. 1C) differ from each [other](#page-1-0) (Table 1). Metabolic heat rates and respiration rates are compared for the three populations of winterfat from the Sevilleta National Wildlife Reserve, New [Mexico](#page-4-0) in Fig. 2. The [Northside](#page-4-0) (Fig. 2A), West[side](#page-4-0) (Fig. 2B), and Southside po[pulations](#page-4-0) (Fig. 2C) also were metabolically different from one another (Table 1).

Differences between northern (Colorado, Wyoming, and Saskatchewan) and southern (New Mexico) populations are i[llustrate](#page-5-0)d (Fig. 3) by comparing metabolic efficiency as indicated by the R_q/R_{CO_2} vs. temperature of the Pinebluffs and the Northside populations. Note that a smaller ratio of R_q/R_{CO_2} indicates greater efficiency. Values greater than $455 \mu W$ per mg dry weight represent either physical damage or a shift to another substrate (e.g. lipid).

Predicted growth rate vs. temperature for Pinebluffs is compared with that for Nort[hside](#page-5-0) [i](#page-5-0)n Fig. 4. $R_{SG} \Delta H_B$ values lower than zero indicate no growth or dormancy.

4. Discussion

Table 1 summarizes data for the six populations studied. Metabolic data presented here indicate that these closely related populations are differently adapted to temperature at their respective sites. Similar calorimetric measurements of metabolism have shown differences between cultivars of corn (*Zea [mays](#page-6-0)* L.) [10], soybean [*Glycine max* ([L.\)](#page-6-0) [M](#page-6-0)err.] [11], and populations of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.) [12].

We plan to expand this study to include winterfat populations from more diverse environments. We

Fig. 1. Metabolic heat rate (R_q) (\bullet) and respiration rate (455 R_{CO_2}) (\circ) as microwatts (μ W) per mg dry weight were measured at different temperatures for winterfat seedlings from Pinebluffs, WY, USA (A); Sterling, CO, USA (B); and Matador, Sask., Canada (C).

Fig. 2. Seeds from three different locations within the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge in New Mexico were germinated and measured metabo[lically](#page-3-0) [a](#page-3-0)s in Fig. 1. The populations were designated as Northside (A), Westside (B), and Southside (C) and the metabolic data pre[sented](#page-3-0) [a](#page-3-0)s in Fig. 1. Note the difference in sc[ales](#page-3-0) [between](#page-3-0) Figs. 1 and 2.

Fig. 3. Two populations, Pinebluffs (\bullet) and Northside (O), compared for metabolic efficiency (R_q/R_{CO_2} in kJ mol⁻¹) at different temperatures. Note: smaller numbers mean greater efficiency.

also must determine if the differences noted among seedling populations persist for mature plants grown in situ or in common gardens.

In conclusion, optimum temperature for metabolism and early seedling growth for the three northern populations of winterfat is about $10\degree C$ with stress noted at 0° C and abo[ve](#page-3-0) 20° 20° C (Fig. 1). Seedlings from the New Mexico populations were stressed near 5 ◦C but indicated good growth at warmer temperatures. Metabolic differences probably reflect adaptation to different thermal environments. Northern populations of winterfat seeds imbibe water, germinate, and

Fig. 4. Pinebluffs (\bullet) and Northside (O) populations compared for predicted growth rate ($R_{SG}\Delta H_B$ in μ W per mg dry weight) at different temperatures. $R_{SG} \Delta H_B$ values lower than zero indicate no growth.

grow at very cool temperatures—even $0 °C$. A 7-day acclimation seemed to have no effect. Thus seeds germinated at 5° C did no better at that temperature than seeds germinated at 20 °C.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by funds provided by the Rocky Mountain Research Station, Forest Service, US Department of Agriculture.

References

[1] D.T. Booth, M.R. Haferkamp, in: D.J. Bedunah, R.E. Sosebee (Eds.), Wildland Plants: Physiological Ecology and Developmental Morphology, Society for Range Management, Denver, CO, 1995, 239 pp.

- [2] H.N. Mozingo, Shrubs of the Great Basin, University of Nevada Press, Reno, 1987, 67 pp.
- [3] B.N. Smith, R.S. Criddle, L.D. Hansen, J. Plant Biol. 27 (2000) 89.
- [4] L.D. Hansen, M.S. Hopkin, E.R. Rank, T.S. Anekonda, R.W. Breidenbach, R.S. Criddle, Planta 194 (1997) 77.
- [5] R.S. Criddle, B.N. Smith, L.D. Hansen, Planta 201 (1997) 441.
- [6] Y. Bai, D.T. Booth, J.T. Romo, J. Range Manage. 52 (1999) 271.
- [7] A Vegetation Classification and [Map](http://sevilleta.unm.edu/finalrpt99.htm.) [from:](http://sevilleta.unm.edu/finalrpt99.htm.) http://sevilleta. [unm.edu/fin](http://sevilleta.unm.edu/finalrpt99.htm.)alrpt99.htm.
- [8] Y. Bai, D.T. Booth, J.T. Romo, Ann. Bot. 81 (1998) 595.
- [9] Y. Bai, D.T. Booth, J.T. Romo, J. Range Manage. 51 (1998) 709.
- [10] D.K. Taylor, D.R. Rank, D.R. Keiser, B.N. Smith, R.S. Criddle, L.D. Hansen, Plant, Cell Environ. 21 (1998) 1143.
- [11] D.J.B. Hemming, T.A. Monaco, L.D. Hansen, B.N. Smith, Thermochim. Acta 349 (2000) 131.
- [12] D.J.B. Hemming, S.E. Meyer, B.N. Smith, L.D. Hansen, Great Basin Nat. 59 (1999) 355.